

Pathophysiology and prevention of diverticulitis and perforation

J. Vermeulen^{1*}, E. van der Harst², J.F. Lange¹

¹Department of Surgery, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands,

²Department of Colorectal Surgery, Maasstad Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands,

*corresponding author: tel.: +31 (0)10-291 23 51, e-mail: J.Vermeulen.1@erasmusmc.nl

ABSTRACT

Objective: This article gives an overview of the current evidence and theories in the pathophysiology of diverticulosis, diverticulitis and perforation and discusses its prevention.

Background: Diverticular disease is one of the most common diseases related to the gastrointestinal tract in Western countries. The pathogenesis of this disease process is probably multifactorial, but remains poorly understood and inadequately investigated.

Methods: A literature search was performed in order to give an overview of the current evidence and theories in the pathophysiology of diverticula formation and the factors related to progression towards inflammation and even perforation. Strategies for prevention of (perforated) diverticulitis are also discussed.

Results/conclusion: The pathogenesis of diverticular disease and its complications seems to be a result of a complex interaction between exposure to a low-fibre diet, possible genetic influences, the coexistence of other bowel diseases and the impact of medicine use. This eventually leads to alterations in colonic pressures and motility and structural changes of the colon wall. Unfortunately the evidence is frequently conflicting in the present literature or lacking altogether.

KEYWORDS

Pathophysiology diverticulitis, diverticular disease, prevention, perforation

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of diverticulosis is estimated at 5% by the age of 40 years, up to 65% at 80 years of age.^{1,2} Its exact prevalence is difficult to assess because most

people remain asymptomatic.² Only 10 to 25% of patients with diverticulosis will manifest any related clinical symptoms.^{2,3}

The pathogenesis of this disease process is probably multifactorial involving dietary habits, changes in colonic pressure, motility and wall structure associated with ageing.⁴ The reason why a subgroup of individuals progresses from asymptomatic to symptomatic or even complicated diverticular disease remains poorly understood. This article gives an overview of the current evidence and theories in the pathophysiology of diverticulosis, diverticulitis and perforation and discusses its prevention.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY DIVERTICULA OF THE COLON

In Western nations diverticula are most common in the left colon. This is in contrast to Asian nations where they occur primarily in the right colon.⁵ This difference suggests a role for genetic, environmental or lifestyle factors in the aetiology of diverticular disease.⁶

Diverticula are most notable in the left colon, with up to 99% having some degree of sigmoid involvement.⁷ They protrude most commonly in four rows between the antimesenteric and mesenteric taenia.⁸ The majority of diverticula pass through the bowel at weak points in the circular muscle layer where the blood vessels penetrate it to supply the mucosa.^{8,9} This suggests that intraluminal pressure might play a role in their formation. These pulsion diverticula are in fact 'false' diverticula as not all layers of the bowel wall are involved.⁴

The maintenance of the colonic wall is provided by extracellular matrix, with components such as collagen and elastin.¹⁰ The mechanical characteristics of the bowel

are maintained via circular and longitudinal muscle layers. The circular muscle thickens in regular bands of contraction (plicae circulares) which control peristalsis. The longitudinal muscle also condenses in thick bands (taeniae coli) which serve to pull the colon to a relatively short functional length. Thickening of the muscular layer is one of the most consistent features of diverticulosis.⁷

Accumulation and aberrant deposition of connective tissue fibres (elastin and collagen) underlie the altered muscle morphology.¹¹ The muscle cells themselves do not change, but the taeniae become thickened secondary to elastin depositions, which leads to contraction in this layer and thickening of the circular muscle layer.¹¹⁻¹³ This narrows the lumen. In addition, systematic contractions of the circular muscle divide the bowel into a series of compartments. Altogether these colonic wall changes lead to an increase in intracolonic pressures.¹⁴⁻¹⁶

Elastin depositions and cross-linking of collagen continue throughout life in all layers of the colonic wall.¹⁷ Increased elastin deposition may result from intermittently increased colonic pressure, which in turn is due to reduced faecal load produced by a Western low-fibre diet. Together with a decrease in tensile strength of the colonic wall, caused by an increase in cross-linking of collagen fibres with age and caused by a low-fibre diet as well, these changes in muscle morphology will result in weakening of wall resistance.^{13,18-20} The increased depositions of these two connective tissue fibres (elastin and collagen III) are observed to be more pronounced in diverticular disease.^{21,22} It is thought that a disruption of the balance between matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and their inhibitors (TIMPs) may be involved in the pathogenesis of diverticular disease, through remodelling of the colonic extracellular matrix, particularly collagen.¹⁰ An overexpression of TIMPs in the muscular layer affects the turnover of extracellular matrix, resulting in the formation of diverticula and their complications.^{7,10,22} An increased synthesis of type III collagen is observed in diverticulosis, but its significance remains to be elucidated.²¹ The disturbance of the collagen texture (lower ratio of mature collagen type I and immature collagen type III) is thought to weaken the bowel wall, hence leading to the onset of diverticula.

Besides colonic wall changes, disordered motility has also been suggested as a cause of increased intraluminal pressure and hence as a pathogenetic factor in diverticulosis.²³ Colonic motility is influenced by the ageing process of its smooth muscle, causing an increase in segmental contractile activity.²⁴ Patients with symptomatic diverticular disease have shown to have higher motility indices than asymptomatic patients or healthy persons.²³ Nevertheless, absolute evidence is still lacking, since most studies on colonic motility and myoelectrical activity were biased by poor patient selection,

heterogeneity of clinical conditions, recording techniques, and duration of the recording and mostly based on small numbers of patients.²³

Neurophysiopathological data to support the increased colonic motility are sparse in the present literature. The high intracolonic pressure might be related to an imbalance in usual excitatory and inhibitory neural influences (increased cholinergic stimulation). Cholinergic nerves were dominantly present in the diverticular colon compared with controls.^{25,26} Moreover, patients with diverticular disease have shown substantial structural alterations of the enteric nervous system mainly characterised by a significant lower number of glial cells and a lower number of interstitial cells of Cajal in the mesenteric plexus and within the muscle.²⁷ These cells are emerging as potential colonic pacemaker cells, and their loss might explain intestinal motor abnormalities reported in diverticular disease.

The influence of Western diet habits (red meat, low fibre) on the evolution of diverticular disease has been well established.^{1,28,29} These dietary factors lead to increased colonic transit times, smaller stool volumes and subsequently to raised intracolonic pressures, all of which may contribute to the development of diverticulosis.³⁰ An increase in diverticular disease in developing countries has been documented, concurrent with the adoption of Westernised dietary habits.⁴ An unexplained curiosity in the increase in diverticular disease in Asia is that it is mostly right sided, which suggests a genetic component in the development of diverticulosis.³¹

Some genetic disorders have been associated with a strong predisposition towards diverticula formation. Most of these syndromes are associated with a connective tissue disorder (Ehler-Danlos, polycystic kidney disease).⁶ But literature is conflicting about this matter.³² The same is thought about Saint's triad (the aggregation of gallstones, diverticulosis of the colon and hiatus hernia in elderly people). Connective tissue abnormalities causing herniosis might be the causing factor in this triad, although fibre-depleted diets may also be causatively related to Saint's triad.³³

Recent studies show increasing mitochondrial dysfunction in the ageing colonic epithelia and this correlates well with diverticular disease prevalence.³⁴ It remains unclear whether these findings play a role in pathogenesis or are simply related to ageing.

It has been suggested that the irritable bowel syndrome may be an early stage in the development of diverticulosis.^{35,36} Although a lack of dietary fibre and higher colonic motility activities caused by changes in the enteric nervous system have been implicated as aetiological factors in both conditions, available evidence supporting this theory is conflicting in the present literature. As both conditions are relatively common, the likelihood of coincidental occurrence in the same individual is

quite high.³⁷ It is therefore almost impossible to predict which patients are symptomatic as a direct result of their diverticulosis. In the same manner, persistence of symptoms after surgical resection for symptomatic diverticular disease can be explained.³⁷

In conclusion, the evidence from studies in man suggests a relationship between diet/lifestyle and diverticular disease, but there remains a lack of robust definitive evidence.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF DIVERTICULITIS

It is estimated that 10 to 25% of patients with diverticulosis will experience inflammation at some point during their lives.³⁸ Like the pathophysiology of diverticula, the aetiology of diverticular inflammation is also speculative. Development of diverticulitis has been described similarly to that of appendicitis. Diverticula may become acutely inflamed through impacted faeces, leading to an obstruction of the lumen, raising intradiverticular pressure by continuing mucus formation and ultimately causing ulceration within the diverticular mucosa.³⁹ This event then allows for proliferation of bacteria, diverticula distension, and localised ischaemia. Eventually, perforation of variable extent may result, accounting for a range of symptoms.^{40,41} It is possible that the increased colonic pressure in diverticular disease is also responsible for pushing fecaliths into the diverticula.

Dietary shifts during the past century have likely not only influenced colonic motility, but also altered colonic flora.⁴² The colonic environment has likely undergone radical changes in the past century due to decreases in both soluble and insoluble fibre. Higher levels of *Bacteroides* and lower levels of *Bifidobacteria* have been found in studies comparing gut flora between Westernised and rural populations. This change in colonic microbial environment may be an important element in the transformation of asymptomatic diverticular disease into diverticulitis, but its exact role has not been adequately defined.⁴³

In addition to the 'typical' form of diverticulitis, it is increasingly recognised that luminal mucosal inflammation may coexist with diverticula.^{44,45} This low-grade inflammation shares histological features with inflammatory bowel disease. The pathogenesis of this so-called diverticular colitis, sigmoiditis, or segmental colitis is unknown, as is its relationship with inflammatory bowel disease.^{45,46} Nevertheless, low-grade diverticular colitis might be the reason why some patients are chronically symptomatic. This phenomenon has been described before in inflammatory bowel disease, where colonic symptoms may persist after resolution of inflammation.⁴⁷ The acute diverticular inflammation may have provoked an alteration in colonic neuromuscular

function and may be responsible for chronic symptoms, even in the absence of inflammation.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF PERFORATED DIVERTICULITIS

Although diverticulosis is common, complications requiring surgery occur in only approximately 1% of patients with the disease.⁴⁸ The incidence of diverticular perforation has been estimated at 4/100,000 population per annum.⁴⁹ About 80% of patients presenting with perforated diverticulitis do not have a previous history of diverticular disease.⁴⁹

The natural history of complicated diverticulitis remains poorly understood, probably because consultant surgeons see only two to three cases a year and almost a third of patients die from unrelated causes during follow-up.⁵⁰ In case of perforated diverticulitis this percentage might be even higher, up to 50% within five years.⁵¹

The aetiology of perforation remains unknown, but as stated before, it is thought to be a result of excessive rise in intradiverticular pressure and focal necrosis.⁵² This local perforation may form pericolic phlegmones and pus collections (Hinchey I).⁵³ If this process progresses, localised abscesses may be formed between loops of small bowel or in the pelvic peritoneum (Hinchey II). If the pus cannot be contained, the abdominal peritoneum gets contaminated producing generalised purulent peritonitis (Hinchey III). The same is found when a large intraperitoneal diverticular abscess ruptures into the abdominal cavity.⁵⁴ If the initial perforation is large, faecal contamination of the abdominal cavity can occur (Hinchey IV).⁵⁵

Patients with diverticular disease in general show raised intracolonic pressures, especially in the sigmoid colon.⁵⁴ As almost all diverticular perforations occur in the sigmoid colon, these pressure changes must be an important aetiological factor. Besides that, the properties of the colonic wall are likely important, because diverticula consist predominantly of mucosa, lacking a smooth muscle layer. The mucosal barrier is vulnerable and may be impaired by various exogenous factors.⁵⁵

NSAIDs have been implicated as a risk factor for perforation in diverticulitis.^{49,56-58} NSAIDs inhibit the cyclo-oxygenase enzyme and cause topical mucosal damage, increasing colonic permeability. Besides, they reduce prostaglandin synthesis, which is important in maintaining an effective mucosal barrier.⁵⁹

Corticosteroids and opiate analgesics are also related to an increased perforation rate.^{60,61} Corticosteroids have strong immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory effects, which may result in an impaired ability to contain the perforation initially.⁵⁷ This will lead to more severe

inflammatory complications. Besides, symptoms and signs in the immunosuppressed patient may well be masked, often delaying and underestimating diagnosis and its severity.^{62,63} The prevalence of diverticulosis in immunosuppressed patients may not differ from that in the rest of the population, but there is undoubtedly a much higher incidence of complicated diverticulitis in such patients.⁶³ Opiates slow intestinal transit and raise intracolonic pressures.⁶¹ By slowing transit time, the diverticular mucosa may have a prolonged exposure to potentially damaging pathogens, such as bacteria.

Unfortunately the causal relationship between these drugs and perforated diverticulitis is mainly based on (small) case series or case-control studies. Hard evidence is lacking in the present literature. Besides, if these drugs are a true risk factor for perforation, they would account for less than 20% of cases: other risk factors must be important.⁵⁴

The role of smoking and alcohol intake in perforated diverticulitis is also unknown. Nicotine might predispose to diverticular inflammatory complication by reducing mucosal immunity,^{64,65} but hard evidence is lacking in the present literature.⁶⁶

Since the incidence of diverticulosis increases with age, the majority of patients presenting with symptoms are the elderly. Complicated diverticulitis is also observed predominantly in older patients. This problem is caused by an unusual presentation of diverticular complications in the elderly patient, with consequent delay in diagnosis. Polypharmacy may further exacerbate this problem and may even increase the risk of developing complications (NSAIDs, corticosteroids).⁶⁷ The relatively high incidence of comorbidities in the elderly and the unusual presentation of the disease will lead to a very high morbidity and mortality rate for this group of patients.⁵¹

On the other hand, complicated (perforated) diverticulitis is relatively frequently seen in younger (male) patients.⁶⁸ Although diverticulitis is uncommon in patients less than 40 years old, accounting for only 5% of all patients admitted for diverticulitis, it has been thought to be a more virulent condition in this age group.^{69,70} But again the present literature is conflicting. Several recent publications have suggested that the disease is not more virulent in the younger patients.⁷¹⁻⁷³ The high rate of complications and perforations may be attributed to a high misdiagnosis rate because diverticulitis may not be suspected in younger patients with abdominal complaints.^{74,75}

PREVENTION OF DIVERTICULITIS AND PERFORATION

The possible role of diet and lifestyle offers strategies for prevention. Large prospective studies have identified a preventive effect of both vegetable and high fibre intake

and physical exercise in the development of diverticular disease, as well as diverticulitis.⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸ The protective action of dietary fibre would make the stools bulkier, thereby increasing the colon size and decreasing intraluminal pressures, and reducing colonic transit time.^{79,80} Fibre as a dietary supplement may be beneficial in prevention. It is nevertheless remarkable that the incidence of diverticular disease has not been found to be reduced, while several studies have shown an increased intake of fibres in Western populations over the last three decades.⁸¹ The exact role of fibres in the pathophysiology of diverticulosis and its prevention remains unclear. And when symptoms have developed, evidence of a benefit of fibre is even less convincing.⁸¹

A reduction in transit time was the consistent finding in most of the studies that addressed the effect of physical exercise on colonic function. An increase in colonic motor activity has been postulated; however, the exact mechanism of this effect is still not clear.⁷⁸

As mentioned above, patients with symptomatic diverticular disease have shown to have higher motility indices than asymptomatic patients or healthy persons.⁸² This suggests that anticholinergic or antispasmodic drugs might improve symptoms by diminishing muscular contractions. Nonetheless, there is no evidence to support this in the present literature.⁴⁰

One of the latest therapies for the prevention of recurrent diverticulitis is the use of mesalazine, rifaximin or a combination of both.^{83,84} The rationale for this is that mesalazine inhibits some key factors of the inflammatory cascade.⁸⁵ The protective role of mesalazine in the recurrence of symptomatic diverticular disease is thought to be similar to that for the use in chronic inflammatory bowel disease.^{85,86} Another very recent therapy is the use of probiotics.⁸⁷ Probiotics diminish changes in the spectrum of intestinal microflora and the adherence and translocation of pathogens. They also regulate production of antimicrobials and interact as competitive metabolites with pro-inflammatory organisms. Especially the combination of *Lactobacilli* spp. with rifaximin seems effective in reducing severe forms of diverticulitis and the prevention of recurrences, hence reducing surgical treatment significantly.^{88,89}

The role of surgery in the prevention of (complicated) diverticular disease is unclear. Formally, elective sigmoid resection was recommended after two episodes of uncomplicated diverticulitis to prevent serious complications of recurrent colonic diverticulitis.⁹⁰ This guideline was based on the assumption that recurrent episodes of diverticulitis will lead to more complications and higher mortality. The data to support this assumption are based on small and older studies. Advances in diagnostic modalities, medical therapy, and surgical techniques over the past two decades have changed both the management and outcomes of diverticulitis.⁹¹

Patients treated nonoperatively would be expected to do well without elective colectomy, since most patients will not have further episodes of diverticulitis.⁹²⁻⁹³ Recurrent episodes of diverticulitis do not lead to more complications and more conservative treatment failure.⁹⁴⁻⁹⁵ At present it is thought that elective resection for uncomplicated diverticulitis does not alter outcome, nor does it decrease mortality or prevent severe complications of the disease such as perforation.^{96,97} For approximately 80% of the patients perforation is the first manifestation of diverticular disease.⁴⁹

Finally an association between the use of calcium channel antagonists and perforated colonic diverticular disease was demonstrated.⁹⁸ Calcium channel antagonists, which reduce colonic contractility and tone, protected against perforation. Further studies are required to confirm this association, but it may represent a potentially useful preventive therapy.

CONCLUSION

Although diverticular disease is one of the most common diseases related to the gastrointestinal tract in Western countries its pathophysiology remains poorly understood and inadequately investigated. Much of the evidence suggests that the pathogenesis of diverticular disease is a result from a lifelong exposure to a low-fibre diet, leading to alterations in colonic pressures and motility and colon wall structural changes. Unfortunately the 'evidence' is frequently conflicting in the present literature or lacking altogether. This complex interaction between colonic structure, motility and diet, the possible genetic influences, the coexistence of other bowel diseases and the impact of medicine use, makes it difficult to investigate. It may even be so that clinical subtypes of diverticular disease exist in terms of pathophysiology and symptomatology requiring different treatment strategies. Further basic and clinical investigations need to be done to fill up the several gaps in the knowledge of pathophysiology of diverticulosis and diverticulitis and its treatment and prevention. For the same reason, there is a need for further good quality epidemiological research to identify risk factors in diverticular perforation. Whether new insights into the aetiology will lead to new surgical strategies for prevention and treatment of perforated diverticulitis remains to be seen.

REFERENCES

1. Painter NS, Burkitt DP. Diverticular disease of the colon: a deficiency disease of Western civilization. *Br Med J*. 1971;2:450-4.
2. Parks TG. Natural history of diverticular disease of the colon. *Clin Gastroenterol*. 1975;4:53-69.
3. Almy TP, Howell DA. Medical progress. Diverticular disease of the colon. *N Engl J Med*. 1980;302:324-31.
4. Heise CP. Epidemiology and pathogenesis of diverticular disease. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2008;12:1309-11.
5. Ryan P. Changing concepts in diverticular disease. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 1983;26:12-8.
6. Commane DM, Arasaradnam RP, Mills S, Mathers JC, Bradburn M. Diet, ageing and genetic factors in the pathogenesis of diverticular disease. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2009;15(20):2479-88.
7. Hughes LE. Postmortem survey of diverticular disease of the colon. II. The muscular abnormality of the sigmoid colon. *Gut*. 1969;10:344-51.
8. Slack WW. The anatomy, pathology, and some clinical features of diverticulitis of the colon. *Br J Surg*. 1962;50:185-90.
9. Drummond H. Sacculi of the large intestine, with special reference to their relations to the blood-vessel of the bowel wall. *Br J Surg*. 1916;4:407-13.
10. Mimura T, Bateman AC, Lee RL, Johnson PA, McDonald PJ, Talbot IC, et al. Up-regulation of collagen and tissue inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinase in colonic diverticular disease. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2004;47:371-8.
11. Whiteway J, Morson BC. Elastosis in diverticular disease of the sigmoid colon. *Gut*. 1985;26(3):258-66.
12. Golder M, Burleigh DE, Ghali L, Feakins RM, Lunniss PJ, Williams NS, et al. Longitudinal muscle shows abnormal relaxation responses to nitric oxide and contains altered levels of NOS1 and elastin in uncomplicated diverticular disease. *Colorectal Dis*. 2007;9:218-28.
13. Wess L, Eastwood MA, Wess TJ, Busuttill A, Miller A. Cross linking of collagen is increased in colonic diverticulosis. *Gut*. 1995;37(1):91-4.
14. Arfwidsson S, Knock NG, Lehmann L, Winberg T. Pathogenesis of multiple diverticula of the sigmoid colon in diverticular disease. *Acta Chir Scand*. 1964;63:1-68.
15. Trotman IF, Misiewicz JJ. Sigmoid motility in diverticular disease and the irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut*. 1988;29:218-22.
16. Painter NS, Truelove SC, Ardan GM, Tuckey M. Segmentation and the localization of intraluminal pressures in the human colon with special reference to the pathogenesis of colonic diverticula. *Gastroenterology*. 1965;49:169-77.
17. Whiteway J, Morson BC. Pathology of the ageing--diverticular disease. *Clin Gastroenterol*. 1985;14:829-46.
18. Wess L, Eastwood MA, Edwards CA, Busuttill A, Miller A. Collagen alteration in an animal model of colonic diverticulosis. *Gut*. 1996;38:701-6.
19. Smith AN, Shepherd J. Proceedings: The strength of the colon wall in diverticular disease. *Br J Surg*. 1976;63:666.
20. Thomson HJ, Busuttill A, Eastwood MA, Smith AN, Elton RA. Submucosal collagen changes in the normal colon and in diverticular disease. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 1987;2:208-13.
21. Bode MK, Karttunen TJ, Mäkelä J, Risteli L, Risteli J. Type I and III collagens in human colon cancer and diverticulosis. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2000;35:747-52.
22. Stumpf M, Cao W, Klinge U, Klosterhalfen B, Kasperk R, Schumpelick V. Increased distribution of collagen type III and reduced expression of matrix metalloproteinase 1 in patients with diverticular disease. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 2001;16:271-5.
23. Bassotti G, Chistolini F, Morelli A. Pathophysiological aspects of diverticular disease of colon and role of large bowel motility. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2003;9:2140-2.
24. Di Lorenzo C, Flores AF, Hyman PE. Age-related changes in colon motility. *J Pediatr*. 1995;127:593-6.
25. Bassotti G, Battaglia E, Spinuzzi F, Pelli MA, Tonini M. Twenty-four hour recordings of colonic motility in patients with diverticular disease: evidence for abnormal motility and propulsive activity. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2001;44:1814-20.
26. Tomita R, Fujisaki S, Tanjoh K, Fukuzawa M. Role of nitric oxide in the left-sided colon of patients with diverticular disease. *Hepatogastroenterology*. 2000;47:692-6.

27. Bassotti G, Battaglia E, Bellone G, Dughera L, Fisogni S, Zambelli C, et al. Interstitial cells of Cajal, enteric nerves, and glial cells in colonic diverticular disease. *J Clin Pathol.* 2005;58:973-7.
28. Mendeloff AI. Thoughts on the epidemiology of diverticular disease. *Clin Gastroenterol.* 1986;15:855-77.
29. Aldoori WH, Giovannucci EL, Rockett HR, Sampson L, Rimm EB, Willett WC. A prospective study of dietary fiber types and symptomatic diverticular disease in men. *J Nutr.* 1998;128:714-9.
30. Burkitt DP, Walker AR, Painter NS. Effect of dietary fibre on stools and the transit-times, and its role in the causation of disease. *Lancet.* 1972;2:1408-12.
31. Munakata A, Nakaji S, Takami H, Nakajima H, Iwane S, Tuchida S. Epidemiological evaluation of colonic diverticulosis and dietary fiber in Japan. *Tohoku J Exp Med.* 1993;171:145-51.
32. Sharp CK, Zeligman BE, Johnson AM, Duley I, Gabow PA. Evaluation of colonic diverticular disease in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease without end-stage renal disease. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 1999;34:863-8.
33. Hauer-Jensen M, Bursac Z, Read RC. Is herniosis the single etiology of Saint's triad? *Hernia.* 2009;13:29-34.
34. Taylor RW, Barron MJ, Borthwick GM, Gospel A, Chinnery PF, Samuels DC, et al. Mitochondrial DNA mutations in human colonic crypt stem cells. *J Clin Invest.* 2003;112:1351-60.
35. Havia T, Manner R. The irritable colon syndrome. A follow-up study with special reference to the development of diverticula. *Acta Chir Scand.* 1971;137:569-72.
36. Sultan K, Fields S, Panagopoulos G, Korelitz BI. The nature of inflammatory bowel disease in patients with coexistent colonic diverticulosis. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2006;40:317-21.
37. Simpson J, Scholefield JH, Spiller RC. Origin of symptoms in diverticular disease. *Br J Surg.* 2003;90:899-908.
38. Jun S, Stollman N. Epidemiology of diverticular disease. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol.* 2002;16:529-42.
39. Morson BC. Pathology of diverticular disease of the colon. *Clin Gastroenterol.* 1975;4:37-52.
40. Stollman N, Raskin JB. Diverticular disease of the colon. *Lancet.* 2004;363:631-9.
41. Floch CL. Diagnosis and management of acute diverticulitis. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2006;40:136-44.
42. Tomkins AM, Bradley AK, Oswald S, Drasar BS. Diet and the faecal microflora of infants, children and adults in rural Nigeria and urban U.K. *J Hyg (Lond).* 1981;86:285-93.
43. Korzenik JR. Diverticulitis: new frontiers for an old country. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2008;42:1128-9.
44. Jani N, Finkelstein S, Blumberg D, Regueiro M. Segmental colitis associated with diverticulosis. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2002;47:1175-81.
45. Ludeman L, Shepherd NA. What is diverticular colitis? *Pathology.* 2002;34:568-72.
46. Peppercorn MA. The overlap of inflammatory bowel disease and diverticular disease. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2004;38:8-10.
47. Mulhall AM, Mahid SS, Petras RE, Galandiuk S. Diverticular disease associated with inflammatory bowel disease-like colitis: a systematic review. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2009;52:1072-9.
48. Roberts PL, Veidenheimer MC. Current management of diverticulitis. *Adv Surg.* 1994;27:189-208.
49. Hart A, Kennedy J, Stebbings W. How frequently do large bowel diverticula perforate? An incidence and cross-sectional study. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2000;12:661-6.
50. Sarin S, Boulos PB. Long-term outcome of patients presenting with acute complications of diverticular disease. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* 1994;76:117-20.
51. Vermeulen J, Gosselink MP, Hop WC, van der Harst E, Hansen BE, Mannaerts GH, et al. Long-term survival after perforated diverticulitis. *Colorectal Dis.* 2009;November 6[E-pub ahead of print].
52. Ferzoco LB, Raptopoulos V, Silen W. Acute diverticulitis. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;338:1521-6.
53. Hinchey EJ, Schaal PGH, Richards MB. Treatment of perforated diverticulitis of the colon. *Adv Surg.* 1978;12:85-105.
54. Morris CR, Harvey IM, Stebbings WSL, Speakman CTM, Kennedy HJ, Hart AR. Epidemiology of perforated colonic diverticular disease. *Postgrad Med J.* 2002;78:654-8.
55. Watters DA, Smith AN. Strength of the colon wall in diverticular disease. *Br J Surg.* 1990;77:257-9.
56. Coutrot S, Roland D, Barbier J, Van Der Marcq P, Alcalay M, Matuchansky C. Acute perforation of colonic diverticula associated with short-term indomethacin. *Lancet.* 1978;2:1055-6.
57. Corder A. Steroids, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and serious septic complications of diverticular disease. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed).* 1987;295:1238.
58. Goh H, Bourne R. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and perforated diverticular disease: a case-control study. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* 2002;84:93-6.
59. Davies NM. Toxicity of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the large intestine. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 1995;38:1311-21.
60. Canter JW, Shorb PE Jr. Acute perforation of colonic diverticula associated with prolonged adrenocorticosteroid therapy. *Am J Surg.* 1971;121:46-51.
61. Painter NS, Truelove SC. The intraluminal pressure patterns in diverticulosis of the colon. I. Resting patterns of pressure. II. The effect of morphine. *Gut.* 1964;5:201-13.
62. Perkins JD, Shield CF 3rd, Chang FC, Farha GJ. Acute diverticulitis. Comparison of treatment in immunocompromised and nonimmunocompromised patients. *Am J Surg.* 1984;148:745-8.
63. Tyau ES, Prystowsky JB, Joehl RJ, Nahrwold DL. Acute diverticulitis. A complicated problem in the immunocompromised patient. *Arch Surg.* 1991;126:855-8.
64. Turunen P, Wikström H, Carpelan-Holmström M, Kairaluoma P, Kruuna O, Scheinin T. Smoking increases the incidence of complicated diverticular disease of the sigmoid colon. *Scand J Surg.* 2010;99:14-7.
65. Papagrigroriadis S, Macey L, Bourantas N, Rennie JA. Smoking may be associated with complications in diverticular disease. *Br J Surg.* 1999;86:923-6.
66. Aldoori WH, Giovannucci EL, Rimm EB, Wing AL, Trichopoulos DV, Willett WC. A prospective study of alcohol, smoking, caffeine, and the risk of symptomatic diverticular disease in men. *Ann Epidemiol.* 1995;5:221-8.
67. Camilleri M, Lee JS, Viramontes B, Bharucha AE, Tangalos EG. Insights into the pathophysiology and mechanisms of constipation, irritable bowel syndrome, and diverticulosis in older people. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2000;48:1142-50.
68. Hannan CE, Knightly JJ, Coffey RJ. Diverticular disease of the colon in the younger age group. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 1961;4:419-23.
69. Freischlag J, Bennion RS, Thompson JE Jr. Complications of diverticular disease of the colon in young people. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 1986;29:639-43.
70. Minardi AJ Jr, Johnson LW, Sehon JK, Zibari GB, McDonald JC. Diverticulitis in the young patient. *Am Surg.* 2001;67:458-61.
71. Hjern F, Josephson T, Altman D, Holmström B, Johansson C. Outcome of younger patients with acute diverticulitis. *Br J Surg.* 2008;95:758-64.
72. Kotzampassakis N, Pittet O, Schmidt S, Denys A, Demartines N, Calmes JM. Presentation and treatment outcome of diverticulitis in younger adults: a different disease than in older patients? *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2010;53:333-8.
73. Mäkelä JT, Kiviniemi HO, Laitinen ST. Spectrum of Disease and Outcome among Patients with Acute Diverticulitis. *Dig Surg.* 2010;27:190-6.
74. Spivak H, Weinrauch S, Harvey JC, Surick B, Ferstenberg H, Friedman I. Acute colonic diverticulitis in the young. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 1997;40:570-4.
75. Simonowitz D, Paloyan D. Diverticular disease of the colon in patients under 40 years of age. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1977;67:69-72.

76. Ornstein MH, Littlewood ER, Baird IM, Fowler J, North WR, Cox AG. Are fibre supplements really necessary in diverticular disease of the colon? A controlled clinical trial. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1981;282:1353-6.
77. Brodribb AJ. Treatment of symptomatic diverticular disease with a high-fibre diet. *Lancet*. 1977;1:664-6.
78. Aldoori WH, Giovannucci EL, Rimm EB, Ascherio A, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, et al. Prospective study of physical activity and the risk of symptomatic diverticular disease in men. *Gut*. 1995;36:276-82.
79. Painter NS. The cause of diverticular disease of the colon, its symptoms and its complications. Review and hypothesis. *J R Coll Surg Edinb*. 1985;30:118-22.
80. Lupton JR, Turner ND. Potential protective mechanisms of wheat bran fiber. *Am J Med*. 1999;106:24-7.
81. Tan KY, Seow-Choen F. Fiber and colorectal diseases: separating fact from fiction. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2007;13:4161-7.
82. Cortesini C, Pantalone D. Usefulness of colonic motility study in identifying patients at risk for complicated diverticular disease. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 1991;34:339-42.
83. Tursi A, Brandimarte G, Daffinà R. Long-term treatment with mesalazine and rifaximin versus rifaximin alone for patients with recurrent attacks of acute diverticulitis of colon. *Dig Liver Dis*. 2002;34:510-5.
84. Colecchia A, Vestito A, Pasqui F, Mazzella G, Roda E, Pistoia F, et al. Efficacy of long term cyclic administration of the poorly absorbed antibiotic Rifaximin in symptomatic, uncomplicated colonic diverticular disease. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2007;13:264-9.
85. Grisham MB. Oxidants and free radicals in inflammatory bowel disease. *Lancet*. 1994;344:859-61.
86. Hanauer SB. Inflammatory bowel disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1996;334:841-8.
87. Fric P, Zavoral M. The effect of non-pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease of the colon. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2003;15:313-5.
88. Giaccari S, Tronci S, Falconieri M, Ferrieri A. Long-term treatment with rifaximin and lactobacilli in post-diverticulitic stenoses of the colon. *Riv Eur Sci Med Farmacol*. 1993;15:29-34.
89. Lamiki P, Tsuchiya J, Pathak S, Okura R, Solimene U, Jain S, et al. Probiotics in diverticular disease of the colon: an open label study. *J Gastrointest Liver Dis*. 2010;19:31-6.
90. Wong WD, Wexner SD, Lowry A, Vernava A 3rd, Burnstein M, Denstman F, et al. Practice parameters for the treatment of sigmoid diverticulitis-supporting documentation. The Standards Task Force. The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2000;43:290-7.
91. Chapman J, Davies M, Wolff B, Dozois E, Tessier D, Harrington J, et al. Complicated diverticulitis: is it time to rethink the rules? *Ann Surg*. 2005;242:576-81.
92. Collins D, Winter DC. Elective resection for diverticular disease: an evidence-based review. *World J Surg*. 2008;32:2429-33.
93. Salem TA, Molloy RG, O'Dwyer PJ. Prospective, five-year follow-up study of patients with symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2007;50:1460-4.
94. Chapman JR, Dozois EJ, Wolff BG, Gullerud RE, Larson DR. Diverticulitis: a progressive disease? Do multiple recurrences predict less favorable outcomes? *Ann Surg*. 2006;243:876-80.
95. Morris CR, Harvey IM, Stebbings WS, Hart AR. Incidence of perforated diverticulitis and risk factors for death in a UK population. *Br J Surg*. 2008;95:876-81.
96. Klarenbeek BR, Samuels M, van der Wal MA, van der Peet DL, Meijerink WJ, Cuesta MA. Indications for elective sigmoid resection in diverticular disease. *Ann Surg*. 2010;251:670-4.
97. Bordeianou L, Hodin R. Controversies in the surgical management of sigmoid diverticulitis. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2007;11:542-8.
98. Morris CR, Harvey IM, Stebbings WS, Speakman CT, Kennedy HJ, Hart AR. Do calcium channel blockers and antimuscarinics protect against perforated colonic diverticular disease? A case control study. *Gut*. 2003;52:1734-7.